# MC525: Cryptography#0: Discrete Probability BasicsInformal Introduction for Cryptography

Sang-Hyun Yoon

# **Definition (Discrete Probability Space)**

#### Let

- $\Omega$  : a finite set (e.g.  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{M}$ )
- $p: \Omega \to [0,1]$ : a function satisfying  $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1$ .

#### We say that

- $(\Omega, p)$  is a discrete probability space
  - $\triangleright \Omega$  is the sample space (or domain)
  - ▶ p is a probability distribution (확률분포) over Ω
- Each subset  $A \subseteq \Omega$  is an event
  - ▶ Each  $\omega \in \Omega$  is an elementary event
- $p(A) \triangleq \sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega)$  is the probability of an event A
- $p(\omega) = 1/|\Omega|$  (for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ) is the uniform distribution

Given a discrete probability space  $(\Omega, p)$ , it is customary to use

- Pro instead of the probability distribution p
- $Pr_0[A]$  instead of p(A)
- Pr and Pr[A] when  $\Omega$  is clear from the context

# Discrete Probability Space: Example

#### Example (Rolling a Dice)

The corresponding probability space  $(\Omega, Pr_{\Omega})$  is given by:

- $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
- ullet  $\Pr_{\Omega}[\omega] = 1/6$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$

The following A, B are events of the probability space  $(\Omega, Pr)$ :

- $A = \{2\} \subseteq \Omega$
- $B = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega \text{ is even}\} \ (\subseteq \Omega)$

Then,

- $Pr_{\Omega}[A] = 1/6$
- $Pr_{\Omega}[B] = 1/2$

암호학에서는 여러개의 probability space를 동시에 고려하므로  $\Pr_{\Omega_1}, \Pr_{\Omega_2}$ 와 같이 sample space를 표시해줘야 헷갈리지 않음

### Discrete Probability Space: Example from Cryptography

#### Let

- $\bullet$  ( $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}, \mathsf{Gen}, \mathsf{Enc}, \mathsf{Dec}$ ): a (private-key) encryption scheme.
- $\Pr_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$ : a probability distribution over  $\mathcal{M}$
- $\Omega = \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{M}$
- $\Pr_{\Omega}$ : prob. dist. over  $\Omega$  s.t.  $\Pr_{\Omega}(k,x) = \Pr_{(\mathcal{K},\mathsf{Gen}())}(k) \cdot \Pr_{\mathcal{M}}(x)$
- $(\mathcal{K},\mathcal{M},\mathcal{C},\mathsf{Gen},\mathsf{Enc},\mathsf{Dec})$  is said to be Shannon secret w.r.t.  $\mathsf{Pr}_{\mathcal{M}}$  if
  - $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}, \forall c \in \mathcal{C},$

$$\Pr_{(\Omega, \Pr_{\Omega})} \left[ \left\{ (k, x) \in \Omega \mid x = m \right\} \mid \left\{ (k, x) \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{Enc}(k, x) = c \right\} \right]$$

$$= \Pr_{(\Omega, \Pr_{\Omega})} \left[ \left\{ (k, x) \in \Omega \mid x = m \right\} \right] \quad (= \Pr_{\mathcal{M}}(m))$$

- ▶ i.e. two events  $\{(k,x) \mid x = m\}$  and  $\{(k,x) \mid \text{Enc}(k,x) = c\}$  are independent
- $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}, \mathsf{Gen}, \mathsf{Enc}, \mathsf{Dec})$  is said to be Shannon secret if
  - it is Shannon secret with respect to all  $Pr_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$

#### **Outline**

Conditional Probability

Random Variables

#### Conditional Probability & Independence of Events

Fix a probability space  $(\Omega, Pr)$  and events  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$  with  $Pr[B] \neq 0$ 

#### **Definition (Conditional Probability)**

The conditional probability of A given B, denoted Pr[A|B], is

- $\Pr[A|B] \triangleq \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}$ 
  - ▶ events B가 일어난 상황하에서 A가 일어날 확률을 나타냄

#### **Definition (Independence of Events)**

A and B are said to be independent if

• 
$$Pr[A|B] = Pr[A]$$
 (equivalently,  $Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[A] \cdot Pr[B]$ )

# Example (Rolling a Dice)

Let  $A = \{2\}$  and  $B = \{2, 4, 6\}$ . Then,

- $Pr[A|B] = Pr[A \cap B]/Pr[B] = 1/3$  (A, B not independent)
- $Pr[B|A] = Pr[A \cap B]/Pr[A] = 1/1 = 1$

#### Bayes' Theorem

# Bayes' Theorem

Given pairwise disjoint events  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n$  and a feasure set F,

$$Pr(C_i|F) = \frac{Pr(F \cap C_i)}{Pr(F)} = \frac{Pr(F \cap C_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Pr(F \cap C_j)}$$
$$= \frac{Pr(F|C_i) Pr(C_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Pr(F|C_j) Pr(C_j)}.$$

- of much use when
  - $ightharpoonup C_i = \text{possible causes (e.g. diseases)}$
  - F = observed result (e.g. symptom)
  - ▶ cause-to-result relationship (e.g.  $Pr(F|C_i)$ ) is well-understood

Terms in Bayesian community:

- $Pr(C_i)$ : a prior of  $C_i$
- $Pr(C_i|F)$ : a posterior of  $C_i$  given F

# **Bayesian Decision Problems**

# **Uncertain Quantity & Prior Information**

- $\theta \in \Theta$ : uncertain quantity
- $\pi(\theta)$ : prior information (given probabilistically)

#### **Measure**ment

- $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ : sample information & space
- $f(z|\theta)$ : measurement model (given probabilistically)

#### Posterior Distribution

$$\frac{\pi(\theta|z)}{m(z)} = \frac{\pi(\theta)f(z|\theta)}{m(z)} = \frac{\pi(\theta)f(z|\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(z|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta} \quad \left(\Pr(A|B) = \frac{\Pr(A)\Pr(B|A)}{\Pr(B)}\right)$$

# Decision Rule: Posterior Bayesian

A function  $\delta: \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{A}$  ( $\mathcal{A} = \Theta$  for estimation problems)

•  $L(\theta, \delta(z))$ : loss function  $(L(\theta, \hat{\theta}(z)))$  for estimation prob.)

$$\delta(z) \triangleq \arg\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \int_{\Theta} L(\theta, a) \pi(\theta|z) d\theta = \arg\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \int_{\Theta} L(\theta, a) \pi(\theta) f(z|\theta) d\theta$$

#### **Outline**

Conditional Probability

2 Random Variables

#### **Random Variables**

#### **Definition (Random Variables)**

Let  $(\Omega, Pr)$  be a probability space and  $X : (\Omega, Pr) \to \Omega'$ . Then,

- X is called a random variable (RV) over  $(\Omega, Pr)$
- For  $\omega' \in \Omega'$ ,  $\Pr[X = \omega']$  denotes  $\Pr_{\Omega} [\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = \omega'\}]$
- Distribution of X is func.  $f_X : \Omega' \to [0,1]; f_X(\omega') = \Pr[X = \omega']$
- $(\Omega', f_X)$ 는  $(\Omega, \Pr_{\Omega})$ 로부터 X를 거쳐서 만들어진 새로운 probability space로 이해하면 됨
- Ω'는 measurable space에 대해 고려하는데 대부분 ℝ

#### **Definition (Independence of Random Variables)**

Two RVs  $X, Y : \Omega \to \Omega'$  are said to be independent if

• for each  $\omega_1', \omega_2' \in \Omega'$ , the events  $X^{-1}(\omega_1')$  and  $Y^{-1}(\omega_2')$  are independent (i.e.  $\Pr[X = \omega_1', Y = \omega_2'] = \Pr[X = \omega_1'] \cdot \Pr[Y = \omega_2']$ )

#### **Expectation & Variance**

# Definition (Expectation/Variance of a Random Variable)

Let  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be a random variable over  $(\Omega, Pr_{\Omega})$ . We say that:

- $\mathbb{E}[X] \triangleq \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega) \Pr_{\Omega}[\omega] = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{R}} a \cdot \Pr[X = a]$  is the expectation of X
- $Var[X] \triangleq \mathbb{E}\left[(X \mathbb{E}[X])^2\right] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} Pr_{\Omega}[\omega] (X(\omega) \mathbb{E}[X])^2$  is the variance of X

#### Useful properties:

- $\mathbb{E}[X_1 + \dots + X_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_1] + \dots + \mathbb{E}[X_n]$  (linearity of exp.)
  ▶  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ 이 independent하지 않아도 성립됨에 유의
- $\Pr[X > \lambda] < \mathbb{E}[X]/\lambda$  for each  $\lambda > 0$  (Markov inequality)
- $\Pr[|X \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge \lambda] \le Var[X]/\lambda^2$  (Chebyshev inequality)
- $\Pr[|(\sum X_i \mathbb{E}[X_i])/n| > \lambda] < 2^{-\lambda^2 n/4}$  (Chernoff inequality)

이번 학기 수업에서는 별로 사용되지 않음

# Notational Conventions for Cryptography (매우 중요!!)

Given a finite sample space  $\Omega$ ,

- $\mathcal{P}_{\Omega} \triangleq \{p: \Omega \to [0,1] \mid \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1\}$ 
  - $\blacktriangleright$  i.e. the set of all probability distributions over  $\Omega$
- $U_{\Omega} \triangleq$  the uniform probability distribution over  $\Omega$ 
  - i.e.  $U_{\Omega}(\omega)=1/|\Omega|$  for all  $\omega\in\Omega$

Enc는 probabilistic poly-time 알고리즘이므로 이것의 randomness 도 probability space에 반영해줘야 하는데..

- 예를 들어,  $\Pr_{\mathcal{K},\mathsf{Gen}(1^n)} \big[ \{ k \in \mathcal{K} | \mathsf{Enc}(k,m) ... \} \big]$ 에서 sample space는  $\mathcal{K}$ 만 표시되어 있는데,  $\mathsf{Enc}$ 에서 사용되는  $\mathsf{random}$  number들의 sample space도  $\mathsf{implicitly}$  포함된 것
- 즉, 위 표시는 다음을 줄여서 표현한 것으로 이해해야 함:  $\Pr_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}, \dots} \left[ \left\{ (k, r) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R} \middle| \operatorname{Enc}(r, k, m) \dots \right\} \right]$
- Enc의 randomness는 무조건 포함되므로 앞으로 Enc가 연루된 모든 확률 표현은 위와 같이 해석하도록